Some Personal Thoughts on Semantic Web and "Non-symbolic" AI

Ora Lassila, Ph.D Research Fellow, Nokia Research Center Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

October 2008

URSW 2008 workshop keynote address



%ISWC 2008

Garbage In, Garbage Out...







It is all about <u>data</u>

(I will explain...)





Original Semantic Web Vision [Berners-Lee, Hendler & Lassila 2001]

- (Advertised as) the next generation of the World Wide Web
- Make Web content amenable to automated processing
 - <u>interpretation</u> by machines
 - (most content out there is for human consumption)

- Implies the use of
 - artificial intelligence
 - agents





Original Semantic Web Vision [Berners-Lee, Hendler & Lassila 2001]

- (Advertised as) the next generation of the World Wide Web
- Make Web content amenable to automated processing
 - interpretation by machines
 - (most content out there is for human consumption)

Implies the use of
artificial intelligence
agents

Nokia Research Center



- Computers working <u>on behalf of</u> users
 - (current usage: as tools)
 - more autonomy, handling unanticipated situations

- Implies the use of
 - artificial intelligence
 - agents

51SWC 2008

Original Vision Deconstructed

- Heavily predicated on
 - multi-agent technologies
 - ontologies + associated reasoning
 - availability of data
- General idea is that agents
 - access data
 - use ontologies to interpret data
 - draw conclusions (to the benefit of human users)





Original Vision Was Criticized as "Science Fiction"

- Where does all the <u>data</u> come from?
- What makes us think agents will get access to all the <u>data</u>?
- What would make organizations provide <u>data</u> in "semantic" form?
- How can we get any agreement on what ontologies to use for <u>data</u>?

• ...





Original Vision Was Criticized as "Science Fiction"

- Where does all the <u>data</u> come from?
- What makes us think agents will get access to all the <u>data</u>?
- What would make organizations provide <u>data</u> in "semantic" form?
- How can we get any agreement on what ontologies to use for <u>data</u>?

It is all about <u>data</u>



•



The "AI Winter" Revisited

- 1980s "AI boom" ended in the early 1990s in a severe "hangover"
 - many promises of "intelligent" software could not be fulfilled
 - expectations <u>vastly exceeded</u> practical results
- For the past decade, we have seen a revival of interest in AI
 - (the "AI Spring")





The "AI Winter" Revisited

- "New", successful AI often "non-symbolic"
 - fuzzy logic, neural networks, machine learning, data mining, ...
 - note: there is a lot more data available now (thanks to the Web)
- Classical, "symbolic" AI mostly seen as unrealistic and idealistic
 - aspirations of completeness, consistency, ...
- New methods work in practice
 - (without us necessarily understanding why...)





"Diluting" the Semantic Web Vision

- Various versions of the Semantic Web vision have appeared
 - "Giant Global Graph", Linking Open Data, "Data Web"
 - "lowercase" semantic web, microformats
- Trying to remove the AI component from the vision
 - (it seems that this only postpones the inevitable...)
- Emphasis is on <u>data</u>
 - how to link data sets
 - uniform representation
- Issues with entity-resolution, object identification remain





"Data Value Chain" (abstract, conceptual view)





51SWC 2008

"Data Value Chain" (abstract, conceptual view)





%ISWC 2008

My Group's Experiments: Context-Awareness

- Context derivation [Lassila & Khushraj 2004, 2005]
 - 1. data "clean-up"
 - 2. DL reasoning + rules
 - some colleagues of mine believe they can do it all using just non-symbolic methods [e.g., Flanagan, Mäntyjärvi & Himberg 2002]
- User modeling with activity capture [van Kleek & Shrobe 2007]
- Auditory context capture [Perttunen, van Kleek, Lassila & Riekki 2008]





My Group's Experiments: PIM

- Capturing user notes as structured, actionable data
 - "natural" language \rightarrow RDF [van Kleek, Bernstein, Karger, schraefel 2007]
- Music metadata applications
 - noisy data, lots of entity resolution issues [Khushraj, in progress]
- Virtual personal assistant
 - speech and dialogue -based user interface [Adler et al, in progress]





Common Denominators in My Group's Experiments

- Semantic Web data models, logic-based reasoning
- Data must first be "cleaned-up" using non-symbolic or heuristic methods
- Problems: uncertainty, unreliability





Conclusions

- I believe the original Semantic Web vision is valid and worth pursuing
 - it describes the future of <u>personal computing</u> (not the future of the Web)
 - it implies a fundamental change in how we use information technology
- The vision is predicated on pervasive availability of data
 - real-world data is noisy (and must be cleaned up)
 - (business and social issues remain, in addition to technical issues...)
- Non-symbolic AI methods have enjoyed great success lately
 - let's use them to make better quality data available
- Even after clean-up, issues remain with data
 - uncertainty, unreliability, ...
 - (dealing with these is an integral part of the success of the Semantic Web)
 - note that "diluted" versions of the vision (e.g., linked data) have the same problems...





Questions? Comments?

• mailto:ora.lassila@nokia.com



